

ILLINOIS POLLUTION CONTROL BOARD

IN THE MATTER OF:)
 WATER QUALITY STANDARDS AND)
 EFFLUENT LIMITATIONS FOR THE)
 CHICAGO AREA WATERWAY SYSTEM)
 AND THE LOWER DES PLAINES)
 RIVER: PROPOSED AMENDMENTS)
 TO 35 Ill. Adm. Code Parts 301,)
 302, 303 and 304)

R08-09
 (Rulemaking-
 Water

RECEIVED
CLERK'S OFFICE

MAY 18 2009

STATE OF ILLINOIS
 Pollution Control Board

REPORT OF THE PROCEEDINGS held in the
 above entitled cause before Hearing Officer Marie
 Tipsord, called by the Illinois Pollution Control
 Board, taken by Steven Brickey, CSR, for the State
 of Illinois, 100 West Randolph, Chicago, Illinois,
 on the 6th day of May, 2009, commencing at the
 hour of 1:30 p.m.

A P P E A R A N C E S

MS. MARIE TIPSORD, Hearing Officer
MS. ALISA LIU, Environmental Scientist
MR. ANAND RAO, Senior Environmental Scientist
MR. TANNER GIRARD, Acting Chairman
MR. THOMAS JOHNSON
DR. SUNDAR LIN

ILLINOIS ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY
1021 North Grand Avenue East
P.O. Box 19276
Springfield, Illinois 62794-9276
(217) 782-5544

BY: MS. DEBORAH WILLIAMS
MS. STEPHANIE DIERS
MR. ROBERT SULSKI
MR. SCOTT TWAIT
MR. HOWARD ESSIG

BARNES & THORNBURG

BY: MR. FREDRIC P. ANDES
One North Wacker Drive
Suite 4400
Chicago, Illinois 60606
(312) 357-1313

Appearing on behalf of the Metropolitan
Water Reclamation District

MR. JAMES E. HUFF
MR. JEFFREY C. FORT
MR. ARIEL J. TESHER

NATIONAL RESOURCES DEFENSE COUNCIL

MS. ANN ALEXANDER
THE CHICAGO LEGAL CLINIC
BY: MR. KEITH HARLEY
2938 East 91st Street
Chicago, Illinois 606017
(773) 731-1762

LA. REPORTING, INC. (312) 419-9292

ENVIRONMENTAL LAW AND POLICY CENTER
33 East Wacker Drive
Suite 1300
Chicago, Illinois 60601
(312) 795-3707
BY: MR. ALBERT ETTINGER
MS. JESSICA DEXTER

OPENLANDS
BY: MS. STACY MEYERS-GLEN
24 East Washington Street
Suite 1650
Chicago, Illinois 60602
(312) 863-6265

FRIENDS OF THE CHICAGO RIVER
BY: MS. MARGARET FRISBIE
28 East Jackson Boulevard
Suite 1800
Chicago, Illinois 60604
(312) 939-0490

OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL - STATE OF ILLINOIS
BY: MS. SUSAN HEDMAN
69 West Washington Street
Suite 1800
Chicago, Illinois 60602
(312) 814-4947

REPORTED BY:

Steven J. Brickey, CSR
CSR License No. 084-004675

LA. REPORTING, INC. (312) 419-9292

1 MS. TIPSORD: Are we ready everyone?
2 All right. Before we continue with Mr. Huff, I
3 understand the Agency has a motion.

4 MS. WILLIAMS: Yes. I'd like to
5 make a motion to extend the pre-filed testimony
6 deadline for the Corn Products witnesses, which is
7 currently May 13th, I believe, until the last week
8 of June.

9 MS. TIPSORD: For the pre-filed
10 questions?

11 MS. WILLIAMS: I meant to say the
12 pre-filed questions based on the pre-filed
13 testimony.

14 MS. TIPSORD: We tentatively have
15 scheduled for the 28th and 29th of July, Corn
16 Products testimony. We're moving it from May
17 25th. Does Corn Products object to the extension
18 until the end of June?

19 MS. HODGE: Kathryn Hodge for Corn
20 Products and, no, we have no objection.

21 MS. TIPSORD: Does anybody else want
22 to be heard? Okay. I'll grant the motion until,
23 how about, June 29th? That will be almost a full
24 month before the hearing. With that, let's begin,

1 again, with Mr. Huff.

2 MS. DIERS: You state on page 14 of
3 your pre-filed testimony that no attempt was made
4 to look at the Ship Canal temperatures at the edge
5 of the mixing zones from these industrial
6 discharges. Have you ever seen data on
7 temperature at the edge of the industrial
8 dischargers mixing zone in the Chicago Sanitary
9 and Ship Canal?

10 MR. HUFF: Yes.

11 MS. DIERS: Have you seen maps
12 outlining the locations of the mixing zones or are
13 there maps available that show --

14 MR. HUFF: I have not seen those
15 personally.

16 MR. FORT: Excuse me. When you say
17 maps are you talking, literally, a map or are you
18 talking about a diagram of a mixing zone?

19 MS. DIERS: Either one, I guess. I
20 just wanted to see if he knew the boundaries of
21 the mixing zone, if there was anything there. And
22 you said no, correct?

23 MR. HUFF: Correct.

24 MR. ETTINGER: Have you ever known

1 IEPA to ever delineate a mixing zone such as to
2 draw a map of it?

3 MR. HUFF: Normally, it's the
4 dischargers that conduct the mixing zone study.

5 MR. ETTINGER: And they delineate a
6 mixing zone?

7 MR. HUFF: Yes.

8 MR. ETTINGER: So could we obtain
9 such a delineation from Citgo?

10 MR. HUFF: Sure.

11 MR. FORT: I think it's already been
12 filed with the Board and a couple other
13 rulemakings. So yes.

14 MS. DIERS: And you say you've seen
15 data. Would it be possible to obtain that data
16 that I asked you about?

17 MR. HUFF: Specifically, the data
18 that you want is?

19 MS. DIERS: I asked if you had seen
20 data on temperatures at the edge of the industrial
21 discharger mixing zone in the Chicago Sanitary and
22 Ship Canal. You said yes.

23 MR. HUFF: Yes.

24 MS. DIERS: And I was wondering if

1 it was possible if we could get that data?

2 MR. HUFF: Yes.

3 MS. DIERS: Have you seen effluent
4 temperature data from Citgo?

5 MR. HUFF: Yes.

6 MS. DIERS: And would it be possible
7 to provide that data?

8 MR. HUFF: Yes.

9 MS. DIERS: On page 14 of your
10 pre-filed testimony, you state "the highest
11 temperature on the Chicago Sanitary and Ship Canal
12 are downstream of the Crawford Power Plant." Do
13 you know the water temperatures in approximately
14 the four mile segment of the Chicago Sanitary and
15 Ship Canal upstream of Cicero Avenue, that would
16 be the section of the Canal between Midwest
17 Generation, Fisk and Crawford plants?

18 MR. HUFF: No, ma'am.

19 MS. DIERS: At the top of page 15,
20 you reference exceedances of the proposed CAWS
21 aquatic life UC thermal standards at Cicero
22 Avenue. Can you please state what is the cause of
23 the exceedance at Cicero Avenue?

24 MR. HUFF: You have two coal fired

1 power plants that are discharging cooling water
2 upstream of there. That would be the primary
3 cause.

4 MS. DIERS: You quote Mr. Yoder
5 twice in your testimony as saying that occasion
6 exceedances of well developed thermal criteria are
7 inevitable and may not necessarily result in a
8 biological impaired use. On page 18 and 19, you
9 then go onto conclude that this statement would
10 appear to call into question both the duration
11 thermal limits as well as its application to the
12 real world waterways. Aren't the occasional
13 exceedances Mr. Yoder is referring to already
14 reflected in the Agency's proposed standards which
15 allow temperatures to exceed the maximum limits
16 two percent of the hours in a 12-month period?

17 MR. HUFF: I guess I was
18 interpreting the statement that there would be
19 exceedances beyond what the proposed limits were.

20 MS. DIERS: Do you recall -- didn't
21 Mr. Yoder testify on January 30th, 2008, that his
22 opinion was that such exceedances should be
23 handled in a permit rather than in the standard
24 itself as the Agency has proposed?

1 MR. HUFF: I have no knowledge of
2 that.

3 MS. DIERS: On page 15, you
4 mentioned the economic burden of maintaining
5 optimal conditions in the Chicago Sanitary and
6 Ship Canal and the Illinois EPA's proposal has
7 imposed significant impacts on the industrial
8 users of Chicago Sanitary and Ship Canal. First,
9 what do you mean when you're saying "optimal"?

10 MR. HUFF: To maximize the aquatic
11 life.

12 MS. DIERS: How are you using the
13 term significant in that statement I just read?

14 MR. HUFF: Significant economic
15 impact?

16 MS. DIERS: Yes.

17 MR. HUFF: I think --

18 MS. DIERS: Significant impact.

19 MR. HUFF: -- as before, that the
20 impact on the dischargers is going to be -- Well,
21 they're going to have to discontinue operations
22 during periods of elevated temperature above the
23 water quality standards.

24 MS. DIERS: But you're referring to

1 an economic impact?

2 MR. HUFF: Yes.

3 MS. DIERS: On page 15 of your
4 pre-filed testimony you state "over the years,
5 there appears to be a general increase in the
6 blunt nose minnow population." In your opinion,
7 what has caused this increase?

8 MR. HUFF: Improving water quality.

9 MS. DIERS: In your opinion, is 100
10 degrees Farenheit protective for blunt nose
11 minnow?

12 MR. HUFF: I think if you look at
13 the real data that was collected, the field data
14 on the Ship Canal, and compare that to the data on
15 the Cal-Sag Channel where you have a very
16 different temperature regime, there's no evidence
17 that the blunt nose minnow at temperatures that
18 get up to 100 degrees Farenheit at Cicero have
19 impacted the blunt nose minnow population.

20 MS. DIERS: So 100 degrees is
21 protective?

22 MR. HUFF: I think I answered that
23 question. Would you like me to repeat my answer?

24 MS. DIERS: I'm sorry. I didn't

1 understand you. So, yes, please repeat it.

2 MR. HUFF: If you compare the
3 temperature regimes in the Cal-Sag to the Ship
4 Canal at Cicero where you have temperatures as
5 high as 100 degrees Farenheit, the blunt nose
6 minnow population doesn't appear to have impacted
7 the population in the Ship Canal.

8 MS. DIERS: So do you believe the
9 current standard will protect the blunt nose
10 minnow?

11 MR. HUFF: I believe the current
12 standard is resulted in the population that you
13 have there now, yes.

14 MS. DIERS: What about the proposed
15 standards by Illinois EPA?

16 MR. HUFF: That would protect it
17 also.

18 MS. DIERS: You also state there's
19 no indication that the blunt nose minnow is being
20 negatively affected by the current temperature
21 regime in the Ship Canal. What evidence did you
22 find that the temperature regime is not impacting
23 the blunt nose minnow?

24 MR. HUFF: In the comparison between

1 the blunt nose minnow population, the frequency in
2 the Cal-Sag Channel to that is the Ship Canal.

3 MS. DIERS: So you're looking at
4 numbers?

5 MR. HUFF: Looking at relative
6 abundance.

7 MS. DIERS: Have you looked at
8 anything that would indicate they're stressed?

9 MR. HUFF: Could you define
10 "stressed"?

11 MS. WILLIAMS: Whether the
12 temperatures are impacting their health, their
13 growth reproduction?

14 MR. HUFF: Again, I go back to the
15 same answer. If you look at the comparisons
16 between the Ship Canal and the Cal-Sag Channel, if
17 you were affecting the reproduction at these
18 temperatures, you would expect a -- the frequency
19 would be significantly lower than what's found
20 there.

21 MS. DIERS: Page 15, bullet point
22 four, states "if all eight fish species already
23 exist in the waterway and are not shown through
24 field collection studies to be negatively impacted

1 by the current temperature regime and given the
2 document and habitat limitations on the Ship
3 Canal, what benefits will be derived from a more
4 restrictive temperature limitation on the Ship
5 Canal?

6 MR. HUFF: I'm sorry. Was that a
7 question or did you read --

8 MS. DIERS: I'm sorry. No. I got
9 it wrong in my notes. I apologize. How have
10 field collection studies show that the fish
11 species are not negatively impacted by the current
12 temperature regime?

13 MR. HUFF: Same answer as if you
14 compare the populations on the Cal-Sag to the Ship
15 Canal as the blunt nose minnow is the third most
16 common fish found on the Ship Canal that has a
17 significantly higher temperature regime in the
18 Cal-Sag Channel.

19 MS. DIERS: Page 15 you state
20 "interestingly, the most thermally sensitive of
21 these species, the blunt nose minnow, is the
22 second most abundant species caught in the Ship
23 Canal." Is this true at all the monitoring
24 stations in the Chicago Sanitary and Ship Canal?

1 MR. HUFF: That statement was
2 looking at the Ship Canal and aggregates.

3 MS. DIERS: I'm sorry. What?

4 MR. HUFF: That statement applied to
5 the entire Ship Canal.

6 MS. WILLIAMS: Explain what you mean
7 by an aggregate.

8 MR. HUFF: Summing up all the fish
9 that were collected at all the stations on the
10 Ship Canal.

11 MS. DIERS: What was the most
12 abundant?

13 MR. FORT: Is that question in the
14 aggregate?

15 MS. DIERS: I had asked the second
16 most abundant species based on his statement. So
17 then I was just asking what was the most abundant.

18 MR. HUFF: The gizzard shad.

19 MS. DIERS: On page 15, paragraph
20 one, you state "the reported blunt nose minnow
21 short-term survival temperatures determined by
22 Yoder, 90.3 Farenheit, is routinely exceeded on
23 the Ship Canal." Do you know if the Ship Canal is
24 completely mixed from top to bottom?

1 MR. HUFF: I believe it would be
2 relatively uniform just because of the barge
3 traffic that passes through there. It certainly
4 stratifies between barges that pass by.

5 MS. DIERS: Do you have any data to
6 support your belief?

7 MR. HUFF: No, I do not.

8 MS. DIERS: Do you know if --

9 MS. TIPSORD: Can we go off the
10 record for just a minute?

11 (Whereupon, a discussion was had
12 off the record.)

13 MS. TIPSORD: Let's go back on the
14 record.

15 MS. DIERS: Do you know if there are
16 any refuge available for the blunt nose minnow in
17 the Chicago Sanitary and Ship Canal?

18 MR. HUFF: I'm sorry. Would you
19 define refuge?

20 MS. DIERS: Places to go to when
21 it's hot.

22 MR. HUFF: You're asking would they
23 migrate out of hot areas when the temperature gets
24 up near 100 degrees Farenheit? In all likelihood,

1 yes.

2 MS. DIERS: On page 15, the last
3 sentence states "there is no indication that the
4 blunt nose minnow, Emerald Shiner or any other of
5 the species is being negatively affected by the
6 current temperature regime in the Ship Canal."
7 What indications were you looking for?

8 MR. HUFF: Well, they would not be
9 present on the Ship Canal would be one example.
10 Again, trying to compare the most common fish
11 species that are on the Cal-Sag Channel through
12 the Ship Canal.

13 MS. DIERS: Are you able to look at
14 the number of fish or the number of species that
15 would be inhabiting these waters in the absence of
16 thermal loads?

17 MR. HUFF: Am I able to? No, ma'am.

18 MS. DIERS: Can you explain your
19 statement on page 15 of your pre-filed testimony
20 where you state that the optimum barge traffic for
21 fish is undoubtably zero?

22 MR. HUFF: Could I explain that
23 statement?

24 MS. DIERS: Yes.

1 MR. HUFF: Sure. If you have barge
2 traffic to the extent that you have eggs that are
3 on the bottom, they're going to be disturbed when
4 those barges go by, they would be very detrimental
5 to any habitat that would be there for spawning
6 purposes.

7 MS. DIERS: Please explain what you
8 mean by optimal when you state on page 15 of the
9 pre-filed testimony that the removal of treated
10 effluent would also remove the -- would move the
11 Ship Canal to more optimal conditions for fish.

12 MR. HUFF: If you look at the
13 studies that have been done nationally, there is a
14 correlation between the percent impervious area
15 which is a measure of the degree of urbanization
16 and the quality of the streams and there's an
17 inverse correlation between those two. The more
18 urbanized an area, the less quality is present in
19 those streams and that's a combination of a number
20 of things, storm water runoff, waste water
21 treatment plant effluents, channelization, lack of
22 canopy cover and that's what I was referring to
23 there.

24

Waste water treatment plant

1 effluents, the flow part of that is very
2 beneficial because it results in probably lower
3 peak temperatures from all the water that's coming
4 in, but you clearly have other compounds that are
5 there that you would, in a perfect world, would
6 like to put pristine, distilled water type quality
7 into the stream.

8 MS. DIERS: Can you provide any -- I
9 know you said you looked at national studies, is
10 that correct?

11 MR. HUFF: Yes.

12 MS. DIERS: Can you provide any
13 specific studies?

14 MR. HUFF: I'd be happy to.

15 MS. DIERS: Particularly with regard
16 to effluents. That kind of limits the documents
17 that you're looking for if that helps. With
18 respect to the study that we were talking about,
19 will removing the waste water treatment plant
20 effluent reduce the percent of impervious areas?

21 MR. HUFF: I guess the question is
22 how are you removing the waste water treatment
23 plant effluents? It depends on how you accomplish
24 that. If you bulldoze an entire block and you put

1 grass in there, it would reduce the impervious
2 area also. If you're going to say we're going to
3 pipe that water down to agricultural lands in
4 Kankakee, the impervious area wouldn't change at
5 all.

6 MS. WILLIAMS: May I ask a
7 follow-up, Marie?

8 MS. TIPSORD: Yes.

9 MS. WILLIAMS: I think some of the
10 studies that you're referring to, Mr. Huff, have
11 been entered into the record at some point already
12 and I think what we're getting at here is that
13 there's no mention in those studies of waste water
14 treatment plant effluent being the relevant
15 connection between water quality and urbanization.
16 They're talking about impervious surface area. So
17 we want to understand how removal of treated
18 effluents would make more optimal for conditions
19 for fish in this system?

20 MR. FORT: I'm going to object
21 because she's testifying as to what's in this
22 record and this witness has not said that he's
23 relying upon the same studies that have been put
24 into the record.

1 MS. WILLIAMS: Can he identify the
2 names of the studies he's relying on?

3 MR. HUFF: No, I can't. Not right
4 now.

5 MR. FORT: The witness said he'd be
6 glad to provide a study or two or an example.

7 MS. WILLIAMS: No. No. He didn't
8 say an example, did he? Citations would probably
9 be fine of all the studies he's relying on.

10 MR. FORT: How about do some studies
11 as opposed to all the studies?

12 MS. TIPSORD: Well, I don't think
13 it's unreasonable. He's saying he's relying on
14 these studies. I don't think she wants -- I
15 mean --

16 MR. FORT: We don't want to be in a
17 position where we have to guarantee we've done a
18 literature search of everything that might be on
19 this very refined point on this small question of
20 what he meant by this phrase. That's all.

21 MS. WILLIAMS: If he's never seen it
22 or read it, we wouldn't want him to do a
23 literature search for it. That's not what we're
24 asking for.

1 MS. TIPSORD: Right. Just what he
2 has relied on.

3 MR. FORT: Thank you.

4 MS. WILLIAMS: I'll withdraw my
5 question. I was assuming he was relying on
6 similar studies, the ones that we had already seen
7 and discussed in this proceeding. So you can look
8 at what he submits and review that.

9 MR. FORT: Okay.

10 MS. DIERS: Page 15 of your
11 testimony, you mention "Lower Lockport Pool, the
12 34 miles of the Ship Canal," and then proceed to
13 address fish data from Lower Lockport Pool as
14 selected from the March 2008 report prepared for
15 Midwest Generation by EA Engineering. Would it be
16 fair to say that this report contains fish data
17 for only a small downstream portion of the Chicago
18 Sanitary and Ship Canal, roughly about five miles?

19 MR. HUFF: You know, I don't know
20 the answer to that.

21 MS. DIERS: Can you explain how
22 you're using the EA data for your analysis?

23 MR. HUFF: The analysis that we did,
24 we presented their data just out of a matter of

1 completion, but we relied strictly on the MWRDGC
2 for the comparison so that we would have common
3 collection methods between the Cal-Sag Channel and
4 the Sanitary and Ship Canal.

5 MS. DIERS: Page 16, paragraph
6 three, states "if thermal is what is limiting the
7 fish quality population, then one would see a
8 dramatic drop in fish diversity, IBI, and fish
9 population at the downstream stations. At Cicero
10 Avenue, immediately below two of the coal fired
11 power plants, the MWRDGC found the greatest fish
12 diversity, 19 species." Do you know when this
13 sample was collected?

14 MR. HUFF: Oh, you're in the first
15 paragraph. I'm sorry.

16 MS. TIPSORD: Yes. It's the first
17 paragraph.

18 MS. DIERS: Sorry. Some of it has
19 changed. I apologize.

20 MR. HUFF: I believe that's over
21 this ten-year period that was cited in that
22 paragraph.

23 MS. DIERS: What month or season was
24 the sampling done, do you know?

1 MR. HUFF: Most of the MWRDGC
2 sampling was done during the summer months. There
3 were some months, like, April, but predominately
4 they were summer months collected.

5 MS. DIERS: So is that like June,
6 July, August?

7 MR. HUFF: Yes.

8 MS. DIERS: On page 17, paragraph
9 three, it states "although not utilized by Yoder
10 in deriving temperature limits, the Emerald Shiner
11 is also reported to be thermally sensitive with a
12 UILT of 89.5 Farenheit." If we were to include
13 the Emerald Shiner to the Agency's proposal, what
14 would happen to the proposed water quality
15 standards?

16 MR. HUFF: They would likely become
17 more restricted.

18 MS. DIERS: Page 17 states "in 2005,
19 the Calumet-Sag Channel experienced a two order of
20 magnitude increase in the Emerald Shiners
21 collected." Were there any other fish species
22 that experienced a significant increase in the
23 number of fish collected?

24 MR. HUFF: That was predominantly

1 the most significant increase -- was the Emerald
2 Shiner that year. And that's from page 3-3 and on
3 attachment six.

4 MS. DIERS: Can you help her? 3-3
5 of attachment six?

6 MR. HUFF: Yes.

7 MS. DIERS: Can you help us find
8 that?

9 MR. HUFF: Page 17 of attachment
10 six.

11 MS. DIERS: You mean it's table 3-3?
12 Table or figure?

13 MR. HUFF: Page --

14 MS. TIPSORD: Table 3-3.

15 MR. HUFF: Correct. Table 3-3 on
16 page 17.

17 MS. DIERS: On page 17, paragraph
18 four, states "the Ship Canal on the Calumet-Sag
19 Channel has similar fishery quality." Do you know
20 if the DO standards for the two sides are the
21 same?

22 MR. HUFF: I don't know that
23 specifically, no.

24 MS. DIERS: Do you know what they

1 are in either in -- the DO standard for the Ship
2 Canal and the DO standard in --

3 MR. HUFF: I can go by recall, if
4 you like. I believe that there's a three
5 milligram per liter minimum and a four milligram
6 per liter that may be 16 hours a day and I believe
7 they are the same.

8 MS. DIERS: Would it surprise you if
9 I said Cal-Sag had a lower DO standard?

10 MR. HUFF: Would it surprise me?

11 MS. DIERS: Mm-hmm.

12 MR. HUFF: Yes.

13 MS. DIERS: On page 19, the
14 conclusion states "in AS96-10, the Board's opinion
15 noted that the Agency's opinion was that the cost
16 of installing additional cooling may not be
17 economically reasonable when compared to the
18 likelihood of no improvement in the aquatic
19 community of the UIW. Do you know the cost
20 involved with installing cooling towers at the
21 facilities associated with A696-10?

22 MR. HUFF: Not specifically, no.

23 MS. DIERS: Do you know, if any, of
24 the cooling towers were installed at the

1 facilities associated with AS96-10?

2 MR. HUFF: I believe they were, yes.

3 MS. DIERS: Now, I'm going to ask
4 questions specifically about the attachments to
5 your pre-filed testimony and I'm strictly going to
6 attachment six, the report. My first question is
7 based on a statement in your executive summary
8 using July and August temperatures. And my
9 question is, why were only July and August used in
10 this evaluation?

11 MR. HUFF: You're trying to contrast
12 the differences or the similarities in fish
13 species from a thermal regime. So I thought it
14 would be more appropriate to focus in on the
15 longer period where you'd have the maximum
16 temperatures. So I picked July and August as
17 opposed to the single hottest day or the annual
18 average. That was an intent to really contrast
19 the long-term warmest periods in time.

20 MS. DIERS: Okay. Again, I'm in
21 this executive summary. I think it's in paragraph
22 two you state "the Chicago Area Waterways provides
23 a unique opportunity to compare the fish quality
24 on two manmade waterways with and without thermal

1 stresses. Specifically, both the Ship Canal and
2 the Calumet-Sag Channel are manmade waterways with
3 differing thermal characteristics. Therefore, a
4 comparison of the fisheries quality between these
5 two waterbodies would be expected to identify
6 fishery limitations caused by thermal stressors --
7 stress." I'm sorry. Are there any other
8 stressors with these two waterways?

9 MR. HUFF: Well, there's a lot.
10 Sure.

11 MS. DIERS: And what are those?

12 MR. HUFF: Well, you've got the
13 waste water treatment plant discharges. You have
14 dissolved oxygen limitations. You have wet
15 weather events that occur. You have the change in
16 the elevations, rapid change prior to rain events,
17 and then after a rain event. So there's a number
18 of stressors. Absolutely.

19 MS. DIERS: You mentioned DO. Is it
20 equally as stressful, the two waterways, when
21 you're comparing them as the same, different?

22 MR. HUFF: I'm sorry. Could you
23 repeat that?

24 MS. DIERS: You've mentioned

1 dissolved oxygen.

2 MR. HUFF: Yes.

3 MS. DIERS: I want to know is it
4 more of a stress in the waterway, the two
5 waterways we're talking about? Like is it more
6 stressful in the Cal-Sag compared to the Chicago
7 Sanitary and Ship Canal, equal?

8 MR. HUFF: Well, with the SEPA
9 stations that have been installed on the Cal-Sag,
10 I would anticipate you have higher dissolved
11 oxygen, especially minimum dissolved oxygen's
12 today, on the Cal-Sag. But, specifically, I did
13 not look at the minimum DO data.

14 MS. DIERS: So you're saying it's
15 less stressful in the Cal-Sag?

16 MR. HUFF: I'm saying I would
17 anticipate with those side stream elevation, pool
18 aeration systems, that the minimum DO's in the
19 Cal-Sag have improved since those came online. So
20 I would anticipate it probably has higher minimum
21 DO's today than on the Ship Canal, but I have not
22 reviewed any data to that effect.

23 MR. ETTINGER: Excuse me. Do you
24 know when those SEPA stations were installed?

1 MR. HUFF: I want to say about ten
2 years ago approximately.

3 MS. DIERS: Do the SEPA stations run
4 all the time, do you know?

5 MR. HUFF: They run during periods
6 when the dissolved oxygen has a potential to fall
7 below the water quality standards.

8 MS. TIPSORD: Go ahead.

9 MR. FORT: Can I ask a quick
10 follow-up on that? Mr. Huff, do you have any
11 notion of when these critical time periods from a
12 dissolved oxygen standpoint might be during
13 particular times of the year?

14 MR. HUFF: Sure. During the summer
15 months, when the warmer temperatures -- you have
16 lower dissolved oxygen data.

17 MR. ETTINGER: Why is that?

18 MR. HUFF: Why do you have lower
19 dissolved oxygen at warmer temperatures?

20 MR. ETTINGER: Yes.

21 MR. HUFF: A couple of reasons.

22 One, the sediment oxygen demand is the function of
23 the water temperature. So as the water
24 temperature goes up, the sediment oxygen demand

1 increases. Probably, more important on the deep
2 draft waterway. The saturation level, dissolved
3 oxygen, is lower at higher temperatures and so the
4 reaeration, the driving force of oxygen from the
5 atmosphere into the water is less because there's
6 less driving force, if you will. And then to the
7 extent that you have microbial organisms that
8 continue to grade the biological oxygen demand and
9 oxidize the ammonia, their rate goes up as the
10 temperature goes up. So you have a higher
11 exertion of oxygen demand in the warmer months
12 than you do in the winter months.

13 MR. ETTINGER: Thank you.

14 MS. WILLIAMS: I'd like to clear up
15 a point. I think it's confusing. I think it
16 might help if I show the witness something.
17 Mr. Huff, have you reviewed the Agency's proposed
18 rule language? Have you looked over that at all?

19 MR. HUFF: I have.

20 MS. WILLIAMS: And you testified
21 that the SEPA stations on the Cal-Sag Channel are
22 operated in times that there's danger of violating
23 the dissolved oxygen standard, correct?

24 MR. HUFF: Yes.

1 MS. WILLIAMS: I'm going to show you
2 the Agency's regular proposal. I don't know that
3 it's an exhibit.

4 MS. TIPSORD: I think it's just part
5 of the attachment.

6 MS. WILLIAMS: And I like you to
7 look -- it may be a little bit confusing, but I'd
8 like you to look at the section marked dissolved
9 oxygen and see if you can read the portions that
10 are being struck out, which would be the
11 current --

12 MS. TIPSORD: Ms. William's, could
13 you give us the proposed rule section?

14 MS. WILLIAMS: Absolutely. I'm
15 actually asking him to read what's currently in
16 302405 in the regulations part, title 35.

17 MS. TIPSORD: The existing rule or
18 the proposed rules?

19 MS. WILLIAMS: He's reading from the
20 proposed rules, but I'm asking him to read the
21 part that's in there now.

22 MR. FORT: You're asking him to read
23 what's been struck through on the proposal?

24 MS. WILLIAMS: He can't see it, but

1 I think --

2 MR. FORT: I'm going to --

3 MS. DIERS: I'm asking him to
4 refresh his recollection on what the current
5 dissolved oxygen rates are in the waterbodies to
6 help clear up --

7 MR. FORT: I'm going to object.
8 He's talked about the conditions, not what the
9 standards are.

10 MS. TIPSORD: If you can read, it's
11 let's go ahead. Because I'm not sure she's asked
12 the question yet. She's asked --

13 MR. HUFF: Yes. I assume these are
14 secondary contact in standards. It's a dissolved
15 oxygen, four milligrams per liter at any time
16 except the Cal-Sag Channel, which shall be three
17 milligrams per liter at any time. I was close.

18 MS. WILLIAMS: You were close. But
19 it's possible based on that the dissolved oxygen
20 in the Cal-Sag Channel is actually more of a
21 limiting factor than the Sanitary and Ship Canal,
22 isn't that true, Mr. Huff?

23 MR. HUFF: I'm not sure because it's
24 not a function of the regulation. It's a function

1 of what the actual dissolved oxygen levels are
2 that are obtained.

3 MS. DIERS: I'm just asking if it's
4 possible.

5 MR. HUFF: Is it possible that we
6 have lower DO's on the Cal-Sag than on the Ship
7 Canal?

8 MS. WILLIAMS: Yes, that's the
9 question.

10 MR. HUFF: Well, my recollection is
11 that after combined sewer overflows you get
12 dissolved oxygen levels that are significantly
13 below that standard.

14 MS. WILLIAMS: Where?

15 MR. HUFF: On the Ship Canal.

16 MR. ETTINGER: Do you happen to
17 recall when that standard was established for the
18 DO in the Cal-Sag and the Sanitary and Ship Canal?

19 MR. HUFF: I don't.

20 MR. FORT: Madam Hearing Officer,
21 can I ask another clarification?

22 MS. TIPSORD: Absolutely.

23 MR. FORT: Mr. Huff, your
24 understanding of the environmental conditions with

1 respect to dissolved oxygen, comparing the Ship
2 Canal versus the Cal-Sag is that the dissolved
3 oxygen might be lower in the Ship Canal than in
4 the Cal-Sag?

5 MR. HUFF: Yes, especially during
6 wet weather events.

7 MR. FORT: And that also then
8 applies to summer months after a wet weather
9 event?

10 MR. HUFF: Absolutely.

11 MS. DIERS: Why is that?

12 MR. HUFF: I think you get the
13 higher flow. You get the combined sewer overflows
14 and you get resuspension of the sediments that you
15 have a sudden increase in oxygen demand that
16 depletes the available oxygen.

17 MS. DIERS: Have you looked at data
18 for this?

19 MR. HUFF: I recall that that data
20 was -- I thought part of the record in these
21 proceedings.

22 MS. DIERS: Okay. You mentioned
23 CSO's and I believe other factors. I didn't hear
24 everything. Did those apply in the Cal-Sag

1 Channel?

2 MR. HUFF: I'm sorry. Could you
3 rephrase or repeat that?

4 MS. DIERS: Yeah. I'll think of a
5 better way to explain it. I think you said you
6 were referring to DO limitation, wet weather,
7 rapid changes, and my question is does that apply
8 to the Cal-Sag Channel?

9 MR. HUFF: It probably applies there
10 to the extent that there are CSO's there.

11 MS. DIERS: Do you know would CSO's
12 have more of an impact than summer DO saturations?

13 MR. HUFF: Summer DO saturations?

14 MS. DIERS: When it's sagging in the
15 summer is what I'm referring to.

16 MR. HUFF: I'm sorry. Could you
17 repeat that? I don't understand that question.

18 MS. DIERS: Would CSO's have more of
19 an impact in dropping DO?

20 MR. HUFF: Than --

21 MS. DIERS: Than summertime high
22 temperatures.

23 MR. HUFF: I don't know that I can
24 answer that.

1 MS. DIERS: Why compare temperatures
2 in the Chicago Sanitary and Ship Canal at Cicero
3 Avenue with temperatures along the entire Cal-Sag
4 Channel?

5 MR. HUFF: Trying to contrast and
6 compare the similarity or differences in the fish
7 populations.

8 MS. DIERS: Why are you looking at
9 multiple stations on the Cal-Sag Channel and only
10 looking at the station along the Chicago Sanitary
11 and Ship Canal?

12 MR. HUFF: I don't believe that's an
13 accurate statement. We looked at all the stations
14 on the Ship Canal as well. We focused on Cicero
15 Avenue because that was where we had the highest
16 temperature regime.

17 MS. DIERS: In the executive summary
18 you state "the blunt nose minnow is among the most
19 common fish collected on the Ship Canal despite
20 temperatures that consistently exceed 90.3 degrees
21 Fahrenheit." Do you know how often the
22 temperatures exceed it and at what locations?

23 MR. HUFF: If you refer to Figure
24 3-3 in the report, attachment six, that presents

1 the temperature profile of the Ship Canal at
2 Lockport Lock and Dam and you can see temperatures
3 above 90 degrees in 1999, 2000 and 2001 and also
4 in 2002. So that will tell you, that graph, that
5 you had temperatures above those and this is the
6 maximum period temperature during each of those
7 periods that were specified. You have similar
8 profiles on page eight at Route 83. Here, your
9 temperatures in 2001 look like they approached 90
10 degrees and were less than that the other years.
11 Figure 3-5 is Cicero Avenue and here you can see
12 maximum period temperatures above 90 degrees in
13 each of the years for a longer period of time than
14 the others.

15 MS. DIERS: Let's go to figure 3-1.
16 The heading indicates the years 1998 through 2006.
17 My question is, are you aware that the cites at
18 Romeoville Road and River Mile 6 have data only
19 from August 1998 through 2004?

20 MR. HUFF: Yeah, I don't recall
21 specifically. It's certainly possible.

22 MS. DIERS: My next question has to
23 do with figure 3-2. The heading indicates again
24 1998 through 2008. Again, are you aware that the

1 Route 83 is the only site with temperature data
2 over that entire period?

3 MR. HUFF: That could be correct.
4 We were just trying to be all inclusive in all the
5 available data that we had.

6 MS. DIERS: And are you aware that
7 Halsted Avenue is located on the Little Calumet
8 River?

9 MR. HUFF: I knew that was down
10 somewhere by the head water to the Cal-Sag. I
11 didn't know that specifically.

12 MS. DIERS: And attachment six you
13 talk about habitat quality and I think it's on 3.3
14 in that section because I don't have all the page
15 numbers. I don't know if there are page numbers
16 on this whole report, but my question is do you
17 know if MWRDGC followed Ohio QHEI procedures when
18 they're collecting their QHEI information?

19 MR. HUFF: I don't know
20 specifically. I would assume that they were
21 following the same one that the Illinois EPA
22 follows.

23 MS. DIERS: In section four of
24 attachment six is your discussion section. You

1 state "for those stations in the MWRDGC study, the
2 average number of species caught on the Ship Canal
3 was 8.5 per sampling event while the average
4 number of species caught on the Cal-Sag Channel
5 was 11.2 per sampling event." What is the reason
6 for the lower number of species --

7 MR. FORT: I'm sorry. Where are you
8 reading?

9 MS. DIERS: I'm in section four,
10 paragraph two. Let me double check to see if I
11 can find it for you.

12 MS. WILLIAMS: I think the confusion
13 is when I look at mine, it says page 22, but the
14 one she printed from the website doesn't have a
15 page number on it.

16 MS. FRANZETTI: I think that's
17 really just the difference. The electronic one
18 didn't print the page numbers.

19 MS. DIERS: I'll see if I can find
20 the exact -- while everybody is trying to catch
21 up.

22 MS. FRANZETTI: I'm there.

23 MS. DIERS: Are you ready?

24 MR. HUFF: I'm ready. It would be

1 speculation. I guess I'd have two comments. One
2 is we used a more recent MWRDGC station. So we
3 have the SEPA stations on the Cal-Sag Channel at
4 this point. So you undoubtedly have higher
5 dissolved oxygen than what you had there before
6 and that could be the reason. If you go back and
7 look at table 3-1 and you look at the historical
8 collections on there that you basically had 79
9 species on the Ship Canal versus 36 on the Cal-Sag
10 Channel. So this is a more recent phenomena.
11 Whether it's a long term trend or not is difficult
12 to say, but a possible reason could be is those
13 SEPA stations.

14 MS. DIERS: I'm checking the page
15 numbers. This is with respect to page three of
16 attachment six. I'm in the temperature section.
17 As you noted on page three in the second
18 paragraph, the highest mean July/August
19 temperature on the Ship Canal occurs at Cicero
20 Avenue, river mile 27.3, which averaged 85.9
21 degrees Fahrenheit over these two months." 85.9
22 degrees Fahrenheit is less than the proposed 86.7
23 Fahrenheit that is proposed for the CAWS UC
24 waters, correct?

1 MR. HUFF: Can you clarify that
2 proposed standard as how long is that -- is that a
3 two-month standard you're referring to?

4 MS. DIERS: It's the average of all
5 of July and August.

6 MR. HUFF: That's the proposed
7 standard? There's a July and August one?

8 MS. DIERS: Yes.

9 MR. HUFF: Yes.

10 MS. DIERS: In your opinion, do you
11 think biological conditions would improve in the
12 Chicago Sanitary and Ship Canal if additional SEPA
13 stations were created?

14 MR. HUFF: I would think based on
15 the change in the fish in the Cal-Sag, the answer
16 to that would likely be yes.

17 MS. DIERS: I'm going to table 4-1.
18 I'll give you time to catch up. If there's page
19 numbers, it might be 26. Are you there, Mr. Huff?

20 MR. HUFF: Yes, ma'am.

21 MS. DIERS: What are the specific
22 sources of the fish IBI and QHEI data used to
23 derive information in table 4-1 of attachment six?

24 MR. HUFF: The MWRDGC data that's

1 footnoted down there.

2 MS. DIERS: The June 28th report?

3 MR. HUFF: January '08, June '08,
4 reports and then the percentages here are the
5 weighted average from all of the stations.

6 MS. DIERS: And the January 2008 and
7 June 2008 portions of that report are attached to
8 your testimony, is that correct?

9 MR. HUFF: I believe the entire
10 reports were on the CD.

11 MS. DIERS: Okay. Thank you. Why
12 were comparisons in this table limited to only two
13 sampling sites in the Calumet-Sag Channel and only
14 three sampling sites in the Chicago Sanitary and
15 Ship Canal given that it appears, like, table 3-4
16 and 3-5, I believe, 18 and 19 in this report,
17 indicate fish data from at least six sites in the
18 Cal-Sag Channel and from at least seven sites in
19 the Chicago Sanitary and Ship Canal?

20 MR. HUFF: I believe it was that we
21 wanted to have it where we had data for most of
22 the years on a sampling station as opposed to
23 sampling sites where maybe we only had one year.

24 MS. DIERS: Why does the heading on

1 table 4-1 indicate 2001 through 2005? It appears
2 looking at the average IBI values are based on
3 data from 2001 to 2004.

4 MR. HUFF: I believe -- well, the
5 2005, in that table 3-2 and 3-3, we have 2005 data
6 on some of the stations there and I think that's
7 why. We have some 2005 data in that summary.

8 MS. DIERS: Is it true that only one
9 IBI value for the Calumet-Sag Channel at Route 83
10 station and one IBI value for the Chicago Sanitary
11 and Ship Canal were -- at Route 83 were used?

12 MR. FORT: Did you hear that?

13 MS. DIERS: I can repeat it. I'm
14 sorry.

15 MR. HUFF: That appears to be the
16 case. That's what the data that's in tables 3-2
17 and 3-3 would indicate.

18 MS. DIERS: For the averages? For
19 this one -- excuse me. My minds moving too fast.
20 I'm sorry. Was 2005 data included in the averages
21 on this table, 4-1?

22 MR. HUFF: I'd have to verify that,
23 but I believe they were to the extent that we had
24 that data.

1 MS. DIERS: And you also have on
2 table 4-1 -- there's two ATI categories and IBI
3 categories. Where did these categories come from?
4 Were those from Ohio, if you know?

5 MR. HUFF: I believe those came from
6 the Camp Dresser McKee report.

7 MS. DIERS: What did you say Camp --

8 MR. HUFF: The UAA report on the
9 Chicago Area Waterway System.

10 MS. DIERS: Is where the IBI and the
11 QHEI --

12 MR. HUFF: Yes.

13 MS. DIERS: Was the Ohio EPA fish
14 IBI used to calculate the fish IBI's shown in
15 table 4-1?

16 MR. HUFF: I can't answer that.

17 MS. WILLIAMS: Can I ask a quick
18 clarification? When you were talking about the
19 CD, do you remember what I'm talking about, the
20 information that was provided on the CD?

21 MR. HUFF: Yes.

22 MS. WILLIAMS: Was that information
23 filed with the Citgo testimony or the Corn
24 Products testimony? I'm getting a little bit

1 confused in my own mind.

2 MR. TESHHER: That was filed with the
3 Citgo testimony.

4 MS. WILLIAMS: The updated Citgo
5 testimony in May?

6 MR. TESHHER: Yes.

7 MS. DIERS: Okay. I'm going to go
8 to figure 3-1. I don't have page numbers again.

9 MS. TIPSORD: Did you say figure
10 3-1?

11 MS. DIERS: Yes. I'm sorry. I gave
12 you the wrong one. Table -- page 15. My fault to
13 confuse everyone. Table 15.

14 MR. FORT: Table or page?

15 MS. DIERS: Table, yes. Sorry. I
16 think we're at table 3-1 and for those who have
17 page numbers, it's page 15. What are the specific
18 sources of information used to create table 3-1 on
19 page 15 of your thermal evaluation?

20 MR. HUFF: As referenced in the
21 first paragraph on page 14, it's the historical
22 fisheries collection from the Illinois Natural
23 History survey and the Illinois Department of
24 Natural Resources.

1 MS. DIERS: What are the specific
2 sources of information that indicate that 79
3 species of fish have inhabited the Chicago
4 Sanitary and Ship Canal? For example, can you
5 please explain the specific sources of information
6 that verify the following species of having
7 inhabited the Chicago Sanitary and Ship Canal?
8 For example, the Pallid Shiner? I'm sorry. It's
9 compound.

10 MR. FORT: I'm sorry. I don't know
11 what else you want him to do. I mean it sounds
12 like you're asking him to go through each column
13 here in this table from a state publication.

14 MS. WILLIAMS: Is it in the record?
15 Is that publication in the record?

16 MR. FORT: The references are here.

17 MS. DIERS: Can I just ask for the
18 Pallid Shiner, for instance? Can you tell me?

19 MR. HUFF: Again, it would be in the
20 historical databases of either the Natural History
21 or the Department of Natural Resources.

22 MS. DIERS: Do you have a cite --
23 I'm sorry. I'm not seeing a citation. I see
24 where you have on 3.2 of your Illinois Natural

1 History survey. So is there just a book there I
2 find? Is there more of a citation there you can
3 give me?

4 MS. FRANZETTI: I don't mean to
5 interrupt. He does say at the end of the first
6 paragraph there on page 14 "data used in this
7 analysis is included in appendix A," but are you
8 saying you couldn't find it?

9 MS. DIERS: Yes.

10 MS. FRANZETTI: Okay. Now, I'm with
11 you.

12 MS. DIERS: Okay.

13 MR. ETTINGER: Appendix A to
14 attachment six.

15 MR. RAO: There are two documents
16 from the Illinois Natural History survey that
17 references it. I don't know if those are the
18 documents or --

19 MR. HUFF: The second one is. The
20 database 2009 and then there's a website where
21 that's available.

22 MR. ETTINGER: I'm sorry?

23 MS. DIERS: Can you help us find
24 that?

1 MR. TESHER: If you look at the
2 references at the end of the report where he lists
3 the sources.

4 MR. ETTINGER: At the end of which
5 report?

6 MS. TIPSORD: One at a time.

7 MR. FORT: Look at Mr. Huff's
8 report, the attachment, page 27, the list of
9 references, the website for the Illinois Natural
10 History survey.

11 MR. ETTINGER: I see.

12 MS. WILLIAMS: Is that information
13 from that website included in the attachments to
14 your report?

15 MR. HUFF: I don't believe so.

16 MR. FORT: So, Mr. Huff, you did not
17 download the entire website and attach it to your
18 report?

19 MR. HUFF: It might be there. I'm
20 not sure.

21 MR. FORT: Where?

22 MR. HUFF: On that CD.

23 MS. WILLIAMS: There's a document
24 that is attached and I'm sorry, Marie, but --

1 MS. TIPSORD: That's okay.

2 MS. WILLIAMS: And it's called
3 Illinois Natural History -- INS Fish Collection
4 Database Search Results, page 1 of 3. And then
5 there's, like, 1 of 2 and they say 1/28/09 at the
6 bottom. Is that what we're referring to?

7 MS. TIPSORD: You know what? Why
8 don't we take a ten-minute break and let Mr. Huff
9 take a look at his stuff.

10 MR. ETTINGER: While you're working
11 on that, can you find out what historical means?

12 MS. WILLIAMS: Right. How old?

13 MR. ETTINGER: Like prehistoric? I
14 mean it's historical. Presumably, after the
15 Chicago Sanitary and Ship Canal was dug, but
16 within that range, 1920 to the present, it would
17 be good if we knew what we were talking about.

18 (Whereupon, a break was taken
19 after which the following
20 proceedings were had.)

21 MS. TIPSORD: Let's go ahead.

22 MR. FORT: Madame Hearing Officer, I
23 think I have a question that may help clarify the
24 basis of the species listed in table 3-1 and maybe

1 we can just let Mr. Huff explain how table 3-1 was
2 prepared and what else is in the report that
3 relates to that. I think that might be the most
4 expeditious way.

5 MR. HUFF: Included in the report,
6 which was attachment six, appendix A, we tried to
7 put in all the data that we utilized in putting
8 that table together. And then in response to
9 Mr. Ettinger's question, the historical data seems
10 to go back to 1967. There's a couple of 1967
11 entries.

12 MS. DIERS: Would you expect to find
13 the Pallid Shiner in the Chicago Sanitary and Ship
14 Canal?

15 MR. HUFF: I would not and I'd
16 suspect that's probably an error on our part. In
17 looking through appendix A, the Pallid Shiner,
18 there's been three that have been found downstream
19 of the I-55 bridge.

20 MS. DIERS: Would you expect to find
21 the Slenderhead Darter in the Chicago Sanitary and
22 Ship Canal?

23 MR. HUFF: I don't know. That's
24 beyond my expertise.

1 MS. DIERS: Would that be the same
2 answer if I asked you about the Black Redhorse,
3 the Mimic Shiner and the Ghost Shiner?

4 MR. FORT: I'm going to object to
5 the particular questions here because the Agency
6 said there are eight representative species and
7 that was Mr. Yoder's stuff. So I thought we were
8 focusing on the representative species for this
9 part of the Ship Canal at least.

10 MS. WILLIAMS: I'm not sure what the
11 objection is to, but I just want to say at this
12 point that we identified species that have nowhere
13 else in the record shown up as being species
14 anyone would expect to see in these waters. We're
15 not protecting for them and we want to understand
16 what the basis of this 79 species that's
17 identified in Mr. Huff's testimony is.

18 MR. FORT: I think he's already
19 testified that table 3-1 is the listing and the
20 supporting documentation for that is included in
21 the latter part of the report.

22 MS. WILLIAMS: So would you say now
23 that 78 is a proper number? You found one that is
24 outside the study area?

1 MR. HUFF: I would offer to go back
2 and relook at that --

3 MS. WILLIAMS: That would be great.

4 MR. HUFF: -- and submit a revised
5 table 3-1.

6 MS. WILLIAMS: Thank you.

7 MS. DIERS: Why did the temperature
8 data used in your evaluation, the report you
9 prepared for attachment six, begin in 1998?

10 MR. HUFF: As opposed to?

11 MS. DIERS: Well, is there data on
12 these waters before 1998?

13 MR. HUFF: I don't believe so. We
14 got the available data from the Metropolitan Water
15 Reclamation District and that's what we utilized.
16 There would be graph samples, but probably not
17 nearly to the extent that we --

18 MS. DIERS: With respect to
19 continuous monitoring data, you reference in
20 attachment six with respect to temperature, do you
21 know what the sampling regime for the temperature
22 data was?

23 MR. HUFF: The sampling regime
24 meaning how often temperatures --

1 MS. DIERS: How often depth -- do
2 you have any information about that?

3 MR. HUFF: I'm sorry. How often --

4 MS. DIERS: How often are the
5 depths -- is it continuous monitoring in the
6 water? Do you have any of that information, how
7 they do that?

8 MR. HUFF: How frequently do they
9 record temperatures? I believe it's hourly.

10 MS. DIERS: Hourly. Okay. Do you
11 know the depth of the continuous monitoring
12 station in the water, how far down in the water?

13 MR. HUFF: I would speculate they
14 would be at mid depth.

15 MS. DIERS: I'm going to go back to
16 figure 3-1. I apologize. I think we asked a few
17 questions on that. Can you just explain each of
18 the points on 3-1 and I don't have a page number.

19 MS. TIPSORD: Five.

20 MS. DIERS: Page five.

21 MR. HUFF: And the question is, can
22 I explain how this was derived?

23 MS. DIERS: Yes.

24 MR. HUFF: We took all of the data

1 that came from the Metropolitan Water Reclamation
2 District in an Excel spreadsheet, made a
3 subsequent spreadsheet with only July/August data
4 and then calculated the mean value at each of
5 those stations.

6 MS. DIERS: I'm going to go to
7 figure 3-8. I believe it's page 12. What years
8 of data are represented on 3-8?

9 MR. HUFF: It would be the same that
10 was in the Cicero graph for the Ship Canal, which
11 would be figure 3-5, I believe, '98 to '02.

12 MS. DIERS: What does the highest
13 year period average mean and specifically how is
14 it determined?

15 MR. HUFF: The Agency's proposal has
16 temperature limits by specific periods. For
17 example, July 1st to July 31st. So what that
18 highest year period average is if 2002 had the
19 highest period average from July 1st to July 31st,
20 that would be reflected on this table. If it was
21 2003, than that year would be reflected.

22 MS. DIERS: Can you explain the
23 dates on this figure, like, we have November 1st,
24 December 21st, February 9th, et cetera? Can you

1 please explain what those refer to?

2 MR. HUFF: Those would be the
3 calendar period, November 1st. And then you have
4 period averages that are typically two weeks or
5 four weeks for each of those time periods.

6 MS. DIERS: In table 3-1, I believe
7 it's on page 15 of attachment six, you indicate
8 the White Sucker is a historical species in the
9 Chicago Sanitary and Ship Canal. Do you believe
10 the White Sucker is found in the Chicago Sanitary
11 and Ship Canal today?

12 MR. HUFF: If you look at the data
13 on table 3-2, which is the more recent data from
14 2001, I don't believe that the White Sucker has
15 been reported in at any of the MWRDGC sampling
16 stations.

17 MS. DIERS: I'm sorry. What table
18 did you say?

19 MR. HUFF: Table 3-2.

20 MS. DIERS: Thank you.

21 MR. HUFF: That would be the more
22 recent data that you're asking about.

23 MS. DIERS: Would you agree that the
24 White Sucker is found in the Cal-Sag?

1 MR. HUFF: It has been found -- five
2 have been collected over the sampling periods from
3 2001 to 2005.

4 MS. DIERS: Do you have an opinion
5 as to why we're seeing the White Sucker in the
6 Cal-Sag Channel now, but not the Chicago Sanitary
7 and Ship Canal?

8 MR. HUFF: No.

9 MR. FORT: Excuse me. Can I ask a
10 follow up on that?

11 MS. DIERS: Sure.

12 MR. FORT: Mr. Huff, given that
13 there are a grand total of five White Suckers
14 found in the Cal-Sag representing 0.2 percent --
15 or 0.1 percent -- 0.2 percent of the relative
16 abundance, is that a statistically significant
17 quantity of White Suckers to say there's a
18 difference?

19 MR. HUFF: There probably isn't
20 statistically one. I mean it's certainly not a
21 dominant species. There haven't been a large
22 number collected. So you can say it there in some
23 level and you probably can't say that they aren't
24 also present in the Ship Canal just because so few

1 have been collected over a five-year period.

2 MS. WILLIAMS: Mr. Huff, are you
3 saying that they might be present in the Ship
4 Canal, we just haven't found them?

5 MR. HUFF: Sure.

6 MS. WILLIAMS: Okay. Thank you.

7 MS. DIERS: That's all I have right
8 now.

9 MS. TIPSORD: Then let's move onto
10 the Environmental Law and Policy Center.

11 MR. ETTINGER: I don't want to move
12 everybody here, but I'm not sure the court
13 reporter wants me talking to the back of his head.

14 THE COURT REPORTER: You're okay.

15 MR. ETTINGER: Let's go back here on
16 the testimony. On page five of your testimony --
17 four and five of your testimony, I think those are
18 the same page numbers on your testimony. I'll
19 just read them. The identifying causes of
20 impairment, and this is for the Ship Canal, for
21 polychlorinated biphenyls, iron, oil, grease,
22 dissolved oxygen, total nitrogen and total
23 phosphorous. Do you believe that all of these
24 pollutants affect the Sanitary and Ship Canal?

1 MR. HUFF: Could you define affect?

2 MR. ETTINGER: Do you think they
3 affect the aquatic life in the Sanitary and Ship
4 Canal?

5 MR. HUFF: That's a tough question.
6 Iron would be a classic example. I'd be hard
7 pressed to believe iron is having any influence on
8 the aquatic life in that Canal. PCB's, to the
9 extent they would make the fish potentially
10 unsuitable for eating, but maybe not from a
11 survival reproduction perspective.

12 MR. ETTINGER: Looking -- I'm sorry.
13 We're you finished with your answer?

14 MR. HUFF: I don't know what the
15 dissolved oxygen levels are. It's listed as
16 impaired, which means it's not meeting the water
17 quality. Depending on how long they would stay
18 down, it potentially could be an effect. It
19 depends on what's controlling the aquatic
20 community that you have there.

21 MR. ETTINGER: Do you know if the
22 nitrogen and phosphorous is having an affect?

23 MR. HUFF: I'd actually be
24 surprised. Ammonia levels are so low you don't

1 have any toxicity issues with respect to ionized
2 ammonia. Phosphorus is generally not considered a
3 toxic pollution. What you're concerned about with
4 phosphorus would be its potential that it could
5 stimulate some type of fixed plant growth. You
6 wouldn't have any of those issues on the deep
7 draft channel. So I don't believe phosphorus
8 would be affecting the aquatic life that's on the
9 Ship Canal.

10 MR. ETTINGER: Okay. Turning now to
11 the next paragraph. Let's go back a second.
12 Looking at all of these pollutants then that you
13 identify, there's no pollutants that you know of
14 in the Sanitary and Ship Canal that would cause it
15 from meeting aquatic life uses?

16 MR. HUFF: I don't think that's what
17 I said. Causes -- could you rephrase that
18 question? I don't quite follow.

19 MR. ETTINGER: Are you aware of any
20 pollutants in the Sanitary and Ship Canal that
21 would cause it to not meet aquatic life uses?

22 MR. FORT: Your question is as to a
23 pollutant as opposed to some other thing or
24 condition?

1 MR. ETTINGER: Actually, Mr. Huff,
2 in his testimony, identified a number of
3 pollutants as part of the reason that there are
4 problems or potential problems in the Sanitary and
5 Ship Canal and my question is, is he aware of any
6 of the ones he's identified as actually having an
7 affect?

8 MR. HUFF: I think the jury is still
9 out. When you say it's affecting the aquatic
10 habitat, there is a thriving fish community that's
11 there now. So I'm not quite sure. Are we talking
12 about the existing population or could we improve
13 on that population and from a quality perspective?

14 MR. ETTINGER: Well, I don't want to
15 argue with you. I'm just saying you saw fit in
16 your testimony to point out that these pollutants
17 were in the water and this was a reason why we
18 shouldn't -- why the water is of lower quality
19 than it would be otherwise and presumably we
20 thought it was relevant to this proceeding in some
21 sense. So I am just asking, do you believe that
22 any of the pollutants that you've named here are
23 affecting aquatic life in the Sanitary and Ship
24 Canal?

1 MR. HUFF: I think the answer is
2 potentially there could be some effect. It
3 depends on what's controlling that aquatic life
4 that you're trying to establish, whether it's
5 habitat or some other pollutant.

6 MR. ETTINGER: Okay. The next
7 paragraph on page five you mention these three
8 coal fired power plants that provide low cost
9 electricity to the city of Chicago, that's Fisk,
10 Crawford and Will County?

11 MR. HUFF: Yes.

12 MR. ETTINGER: Okay. I think we
13 heard earlier that you had not considered the
14 effect of the mercury air rule on the continued
15 liability of those plants as providing low cost
16 electricity?

17 MR. HUFF: Yes, sir.

18 MR. ETTINGER: Have you considered
19 any health effect that it's currently caused from
20 operating those plants on the populations around
21 those facilities?

22 MR. HUFF: No, sir.

23 MR. ETTINGER: Later on in that
24 paragraph, you say "the coal fired power plants

1 introduce a thermal loading to the shipping canal.
2 However, other industries also discharge waste
3 water with a thermal component." Of the total
4 thermal loading to that water, what percentage of
5 that would be from the power plants as opposed to
6 other facilities?

7 MR. HUFF: I haven't done a
8 calculation, but I would estimate greater than 90
9 percent would be the three power plants.

10 MR. ETTINGER: Right. And if some
11 or all of those power plants were to close, would
12 you imagine there would be any problem with the
13 remaining dischargers meeting water quality
14 standards in --

15 MR. HUFF: I do, indeed.

16 MR. ETTINGER: I'm sorry. I know
17 I'm slow and you think faster than I do, but you
18 have to let me finish my question. If we were to
19 close those power plants, do you have an opinion
20 as to whether or not there would be any problem
21 for those remaining heat dischargers in meeting
22 standards in the Sanitary and Ship Canal?

23 MS. FRANZETTI: If I could just
24 insert an objection there that it is a proper

1 purpose of regulations to close those plants, but
2 go ahead, Mr. Ettinger.

3 MR. FORT: Can I clarify?

4 MR. ETTINGER: I didn't really --
5 why don't we read the question back and let him
6 answer it although if Mr. Ford has an objection --

7 MS. TIPSORD: Actually, he would
8 like to clarify the question.

9 MR. FORT: I would like to clarify
10 the question when you're talking about the
11 standards, you're talking about the standards
12 being proposed in this rulemaking?

13 MR. ETTINGER: That's a good point.
14 And this may vary within the Sanitary and Ship
15 Canal. Were some or all of those plants to close
16 for whatever reason, would that affect the
17 likelihood of the remaining thermal dischargers
18 causing a violation of the standards that were
19 proposed in this proceeding?

20 MR. HUFF: The answer is yes.

21 MR. ETTINGER: And how would that
22 happen?

23 MR. HUFF: Well, if you set the
24 shoulder month at what the MWRDGC effluents

1 currently are, there's literally no thermal
2 capacity remaining in those shoulder months and
3 you have industrial dischargers so once through
4 cooling water, are pulling out BTU's and that
5 water is warmer than what the MWRDGC effluent is.

6 MR. ETTINGER: And that would be
7 applicable during the shoulder months?

8 MR. HUFF: Yes.

9 MR. ETTINGER: Which are the
10 shoulder months?

11 MR. HUFF: Non-summer.

12 MR. ETTINGER: Would that be true
13 outside of any mixing zone?

14 MR. HUFF: Yes. Because if the
15 stream is already at the thermal limit, you can't
16 add any more to it with or without a mixing.

17 MR. ETTINGER: Have you studied
18 whether there's any cooling that occurs between
19 the Stickney Plant and your Lemont facility in the
20 winter?

21 MR. HUFF: There is cooling, yes.

22 MR. ETTINGER: So have you studied
23 whether the water cools enough in the winter
24 between Stickney and Lemont such that you could

1 discharge heat at Lemont and stay below the
2 temperature that has been proposed by the Illinois
3 Environmental Protection Agency?

4 MR. FORT: Clarification. You're
5 talking about winter being December through
6 February or are you talking about shoulder months?

7 MR. ETTINGER: I think that's a
8 useful clarification. Why don't we say the whole
9 shoulder months now, remembering, of course, my
10 question was have you studied and then if it turns
11 out that he studies for some portion of that
12 period, but not all of it, then we'll ask that.

13 MS. WILLIAMS: Can I object to -- I
14 think he called the shoulder months anything that
15 wasn't summer. I think we've commonly understood
16 that to be a period between summer and winter. So
17 can we agree on what shoulder months are?

18 MR. ETTINGER: May I substitute my
19 question, non-summer months for shoulder months if
20 that's agreeable to Mr. Fort.

21 MR. FORT: Very agreeable.

22 MR. ETTINGER: Okay. I'm not sure
23 what the question is anymore. Perhaps --

24 MS. TIPSORD: Have you studied, is

1 the question.

2 MR. ETTINGER: Yes.

3 MR. HUFF: You're talking with
4 respect to Citgo and their refinery?

5 MR. ETTINGER: Correct.

6 MR. HUFF: I have looked at that
7 briefly and under the scenario that you outlined,
8 I believe they would be okay.

9 MR. FORT: Excuse me. Meaning the
10 scenario that the power plants are no longer
11 operating?

12 MR. HUFF: Right.

13 MR. ETTINGER: Now, going back into
14 our world in which -- I'm sorry. Let's look at
15 page nine. It says starting the second full
16 paragraph, "the economic impact of the proposed
17 changes in thermal chloride, sulfate and mercury
18 will be significant." Leaving thermal aside, it's
19 my understanding that your concern is that the
20 Citgo refinery will lose its mixing zone for
21 chloride, sulfate and mercury?

22 MR. HUFF: Yes.

23 MR. ETTINGER: Okay. Is it your
24 understanding that the IEPA currently rewrites its

1 permits such that any facility for which -- I'm
2 sorry. For any water which is receiving a
3 discharge, if that water at any time is violating
4 water quality standards, that every discharge or
5 discharging of that water must meet the water
6 quality standard at the end of the pipe?

7 MR. HUFF: The short answer would be
8 yes. They go through a reasonable potential
9 analysis and if you are contributing that
10 pollutant then you would be imposed with water
11 quality standards on that pollutant.

12 MR. ETTINGER: So for every water in
13 the state of Illinois that is violating the
14 mercury standard, from time to time we would
15 expect to see that there would be no permits
16 allowed in which they were not meeting the mercury
17 water quality standard at the end of the pipe?

18 MR. HUFF: I believe that is exactly
19 the direction that the Agency is currently taking,
20 yes.

21 MS. WILLIAMS: In your view, is that
22 a proper interpretation of the regulation?

23 MR. FORT: Object. Calls for a
24 legal conclusion.

1 MR. ETTINGER: Well, he's objected,
2 but the witness' conclusions are based on that
3 legal conclusion so I have to ask.

4 MR. HUFF: Can you rephrase the
5 question for me or restate it?

6 MR. ETTINGER: I think I did pretty
7 well. Why don't we ask the court reporter to read
8 it back?

9 MS. TIPSORD: I'm going to sustain
10 the objection because you can't ask him for his
11 legal opinion. So you need to rephrase.

12 MR. ETTINGER: Well, were it to be
13 the case that that was an improper interpretation
14 of how to write a permit, would your conclusions
15 as to the effect on the mixing zone at Lemont
16 still be valid?

17 MR. FORT: I think the witness has
18 objected. The witness has talked about his
19 understanding and his understanding of the
20 Agency's approach. You're asking him a different
21 question now which is what is his legal opinion as
22 to that issue.

23 MR. ETTINGER: No, I withdrew that.

24 MS. TIPSORD: I think he's asking

1 for a hypothetical situation that if the EPA
2 and -- forgive me if I misinterpreted this. If
3 the EPA were to no longer demand compliance at
4 Pike and the water quality standard is changed,
5 would that affect your concern about the mixing
6 zones?

7 MS. FRANZETTI: And I'm just going
8 to object. I think the answer is vague and
9 confusing.

10 MS. TIPSORD: Hey, I'm on this side
11 of the chair.

12 MS. FRANZETTI: You tried to make it
13 clearer, but I don't think it's possible.

14 MR. HUFF: If we go back and look at
15 302 102(b)(9), it states "no mixing is allowed
16 where the water quality standard for the
17 constituent in question is already violated in a
18 receiving stream." It's black and white in the
19 Board's regulation. So with that premise,
20 Mr. Ettinger, could you ask me that question with
21 that premise?

22 MR. ETTINGER: Actually, you've
23 answered my question. I thank you very much. I
24 plan to quote you in my next hearing and PDS

1 permit.

2 MS. WILLIAMS: Are you moving away
3 from mercury?

4 MR. ETTINGER: No, I've just begun
5 mercury. Let's talk about mercury which is on
6 exhibit -- I think -- I believe it's attachment
7 three, mercury limits -- levels Chicago Sanitary
8 and Ship Canal, is that correct?

9 MR. HUFF: Yes.

10 MR. ETTINGER: Now, I'm not so good
11 with the metric system, but my understanding is
12 according to this chart you have one violation
13 that was found of the 12 parts per trillion
14 standard for mercury in the Chicago Sanitary and
15 Ship Canal?

16 MR. HUFF: One out of ten, yes.

17 MR. ETTINGER: And it's your
18 understanding then based on your rule and this is
19 a basis for your testimony then that should be
20 "were a general use standard applicable here, that
21 we would not allow any mixing for mercury for any
22 discharger to that water"?

23 MR. HUFF: Correct.

24 MR. ETTINGER: Looking at these

1 numbers, do you have any knowledge as to what
2 might have caused that violation of the mercury
3 standards.

4 MR. HUFF: Well, in part, the flow
5 has to be greater than the harmonic mean. We had
6 another value at 13, but it was below -- the flow
7 was below the harmonic mean. So it's not strictly
8 a wet weather phenomena. We've had two values
9 that were recorded over twelve out of the ten.

10 MR. ETTINGER: Based on your study
11 of the system, do you know what the likely sources
12 of mercury are in that system?

13 MR. HUFF: No, I don't.

14 MR. ETTINGER: What dilutes the
15 water or what level of dilution do you have --
16 Strike that. What happens to discharges of
17 chloride, mercury and these other pollutants in
18 the Sanitary and Ship Canal?

19 MR. HUFF: Can we break them down
20 one at a time?

21 MR. ETTINGER: Yeah.

22 MR. HUFF: Chlorides are for all
23 practical purposes infinitely soluble. They will
24 move down into the Illinois River into the

1 Mississippi River then into the Gulf of Mexico.
2 If you look at the mercury that we found in the
3 Ship Canal, it was primarily in the particulate
4 state. It was not dissolved. The dissolved
5 levels are quite low. So one would anticipate
6 that there would be some deposition of those as
7 that water moves downstream and then likely during
8 high flow periods that deposition would get
9 transported further downstream as well.

10 MR. ETTINGER: What else do we have
11 here? Sulfate.

12 MR. HUFF: Sulfate is somewhat like
13 chloride, although it will tend to precipitate out
14 of the stream as it travels down depending on the
15 form of it whether it becomes sodium or calcium.

16 MR. ETTINGER: Do you know whether
17 there are chloride violations in waters downstream
18 from the Sanitary and Ship Canal?

19 MR. HUFF: As in the beginning of
20 the primary contact waterway at the I-55 bridge on
21 the Des Plaines River?

22 MR. ETTINGER: Yes.

23 MR. HUFF: Yes, there are.

24 MR. ETTINGER: Are discharges made

1 to the Sanitary and Ship Canal contributing to the
2 level of chloride at the I-55 bridge?

3 MR. HUFF: I'm sorry. Could you
4 restate that? I didn't hear the beginning.

5 MR. ETTINGER: Are discharges of
6 chloride made to the Sanitary and Ship Canal
7 contributing to the levels of chloride at the I-55
8 bridge?

9 MR. HUFF: Well, it's highway
10 deicing. It's the primary source of those
11 violations.

12 MR. ETTINGER: I understand what the
13 primary source is. My question is -- let me
14 change the question slightly. Is chloride
15 discharged in the Sanitary and Ship Canal reaching
16 the I-55 bridge?

17 MR. HUFF: Yes.

18 MR. ETTINGER: Thank you. Is it
19 your understanding that IEPA would prohibit a
20 mixing zone for chloride if it was causing or
21 contributing to a violation of standards in
22 downstream waters?

23 MR. HUFF: In downstream waters, no.
24 I think in that case, they would be entitled to a

1 mixing zone potentially. That's an interesting
2 question. If they're contributing it on, say, the
3 I-55 bridge, then the next step would be a TMDL
4 study to do a load allocation on what individuals
5 can contribute to that and that's likely when the
6 reduction would come about.

7 MR. ETTINGER: So it's your
8 understanding that if the immediate receiving
9 water is not covered by the standard but a
10 downstream water is, then that makes a legal
11 difference?

12 MR. HUFF: Yeah. Because the mixing
13 zone is intended to allow you to have a small area
14 in the case of the zone of the initial dissolution
15 that is above an acute toxicity and then the
16 chronic -- the overall mixing zone, you can have
17 levels above the chronic toxicity. So really
18 mixing zone is to look at the stream right exactly
19 where you're discharging.

20 MR. ETTINGER: Okay. Do you believe
21 that the heat discharged from the power plants is
22 fully mixed by the time it gets to the I-55
23 bridge?

24 MS. FRANZETTI: Objection. Lack of

1 foundation.

2 MR. HUFF: I would anticipate from
3 the three power plants that we're talking about on
4 the Ship Canal that would be the case.

5 MR. ETTINGER: How about the Joliet
6 plant?

7 MR. HUFF: I have no opinion on
8 that.

9 MR. ETTINGER: Are you aware of
10 holdings by this Board that upstream discharges
11 need to be considered in determining whether there
12 are -- Strike that. I guess have you ever studied
13 what the level of chloride discharge by the
14 Metropolitan Water Reclamation District is?

15 MR. HUFF: Back in about 1975, '76,
16 when the water quality -- and maybe it was the
17 effluent standards on dissolved solids were
18 adjusted, I coauthored the economic impact and we
19 had some limited data back then. Since then, no.

20 MR. ETTINGER: There's a sentence on
21 page 11 of your testimony, it says "the Agency in
22 the recent NTDS permit -- I'm sorry. The Agency
23 in a recent NTDS permit determined that the HHS
24 for mercury must be met in the effluent and that

1 no mixing zone is allowed despite regulations that
2 appear contrary to this position." Could you
3 further explain what you meant there?
4 Specifically, what recent Agency action and what
5 regulations that appear to contradict this
6 position?

7 MS. TIPSORD: Excuse me. Before you
8 answer that question if that specific issue is on
9 appeal before the Board, then I would prefer that
10 we not discuss it.

11 MR. FORT: Do you know if it's on
12 appeal?

13 MR. HUFF: Not that I'm aware of.

14 MS. TIPSORD: Okay. Then go ahead.

15 MR. HUFF: The permit I'm referring
16 to is the Conoco Phillips Wood River Refinery
17 permit and there was a second part to your
18 question.

19 MR. ETTINGER: I'm just trying to
20 find out about that sentence. What's going on
21 there? I don't understand what you're talking
22 about.

23 MR. HUFF: If you look at the
24 mercury regulation in the Board's rules, it

1 specifically allows mixing for mercury and the
2 Agency has determined that no mixing is allowed
3 for mercury.

4 MR. ETTINGER: And that is because
5 the Mississippi River violates for mercury?

6 MR. HUFF: No, it does not. I
7 believe it's because of the Indiana permit appeal
8 a couple of years ago with BP.

9 MR. ETTINGER: You put this sentence
10 in your testimony presumably because you believe
11 this is relevant in some way to this proceeding.
12 I'm having some trouble here determining what the
13 Agency's position was and how anything the Board
14 would do in this proceeding to affect your
15 client's mercury limits under this -- based on
16 this experience. Could you just explain that?

17 MR. HUFF: In the case if they adopt
18 the same mercury regulations and without
19 clarification that you are allowed mixing zones,
20 then this would, in the case of Citgo, they're
21 going to end with a 12 nanogram per liter mercury
22 limit on an annual basis, which would be
23 difficult. To the extent that I've identified
24 that you already have a waterway that violates

1 that, the next step would be a TMDL study and
2 we're going to go through a load allocation that
3 will take that mercury level potentially even
4 lower and then you'd have the same thing at the
5 MWRDGC plant, potentially combined sewer overflows
6 and all the other industries.

7 MR. ETTINGER: I think I understand
8 now. Let me try and get this right. There's a
9 Great Lakes rule that there's no mixing zone for
10 bio cumulative toxins like mercury, is that
11 correct?

12 MR. HUFF: Yes.

13 MR. ETTINGER: And in the Conoco
14 permit, you're saying that IEPA applied that rule
15 although the Conoco discharges is not to the Great
16 Lakes.

17 MR. HUFF: Correct.

18 MR. ETTINGER: And your position is
19 that that's an incorrect interpretation of
20 Illinois law, but that if we were to change the
21 standard here and IEPA would be allowed to make
22 that interpretation that you believe is incorrect,
23 that that would affect your client?

24 MR. HUFF: That's part of my

1 concern, yes. The other part is that we already
2 exceed the 12 nanograms per liter on that waterway
3 which then also says that they'll lose their
4 mixing zone because of that exceedance.

5 MR. ETTINGER: Okay. I came to
6 understand the first, but not the second. What's
7 the effect of the Stickney discharges on thermal
8 temperatures in the summer?

9 MR. HUFF: Well, in the summer, it
10 undoubtedly cools the temperature that's in that
11 Ship Canal upstream.

12 MR. ETTINGER: Okay. We went over
13 this some before, but I just want to be clear on
14 this point. This has to do with non-summer
15 temperatures again. The Agency, to your
16 understanding as described on page 14, varied from
17 the Yoder analysis as to what to do on non-summer
18 temperatures, is that correct?

19 MR. HUFF: Yes.

20 MR. ETTINGER: What temperatures
21 does Citgo discharge in the winter in relationship
22 to the temperatures with the Water Reclamation
23 District?

24 MR. HUFF: I can't answer that right

1 now, but I have that data. I didn't put it in
2 this report, but there is a thermal component in
3 the Citgo discharge.

4 MR. ETTINGER: Much higher than 55
5 degrees?

6 MR. HUFF: In the winter months, it
7 potentially could be. If you got ambient
8 temperatures in the 30 to 40 degrees Farenheit,
9 yes.

10 MR. FORT: May I ask a follow up to
11 explain that?

12 MR. ETTINGER: Yes, please.

13 MR. FORT: Mr. Huff, do you know
14 some of the processes that Citgo uses, for
15 example, in the waste water treatment plant that
16 causes them to elevate the temperature in their
17 waste water?

18 MR. HUFF: Well, yes, I do.

19 MR. FORT: And what is that?

20 MR. HUFF: It's kind of a multi
21 answer. In biological treatment systems, they
22 inject steam into the waste water to maintain
23 temperature for ammonia removal, the
24 nitrification, and then they have other streams.

1 Ones for cooling water. And they're all mixed
2 together in what's called a treated water basin
3 and from there it's discharged.

4 MR. ETTINGER: What's the nature or
5 how constant is your thermal load in the Sanitary
6 and Ship Canal? Is it something that's done all
7 the time or is it something that's maybe one day
8 on, one day off?

9 MR. HUFF: Because they have the
10 large treated water basin, if you were to go
11 through a below zero period for a week, that
12 thermal component would drop down to your 50
13 degrees in all likelihood, but if the ambient
14 temperatures are up in the 30 to 40 degrees, then
15 you would see temperatures above that 50 degrees.
16 So the thermal load is relatively constant. I
17 would say what varies is the ambient temperature
18 that affects the effluent temperature.

19 MR. ETTINGER: Did they ever shut
20 that process down?

21 MR. HUFF: No. Only on scheduled
22 turnarounds by the refinery and even then the
23 waste water system would still be operational.

24 MR. ETTINGER: On page 17 of your

1 testimony, you say "more current fish
2 collection -- data collected by the MWRD after
3 completion of the site from elevated pool aeration
4 systems on the Calumet-Sag Channel has yielded an
5 average of 8.5 species per site, sampling event on
6 the Ship Canal versus 11.2 species on the
7 Calumet-Sag Canal." Should we take from that that
8 the side stream elevated pool aeration worked?

9 MR. HUFF: Well, I can't answer that
10 specifically. That's one possible explanation
11 which I offered up previously. The Cal-Sag
12 Channel has better habitat quality then does the
13 Ship Canal. So is that part of it or is that the
14 major factor, I can't say.

15 MR. ETTINGER: Are there tributaries
16 in the Cal-Sag Channel?

17 MR. HUFF: There are indeed and
18 there really are not on the Sanitary and Ship
19 Canal.

20 MR. ETTINGER: What are the
21 tributaries in the Cal-Sag?

22 MR. HUFF: In looking at IEPA,
23 attachment M, tributaries include Crooked Creek,
24 East Stony Creek, the Illinois/Michigan Canal, and

1 that one surprises me on that list, Midlothian
2 Creek, Mill Creek, Navaho Creek, Saganashkee
3 Creek, Slew, Tinley Creek and West Stony Creek.

4 MR. ETTINGER: So all of those
5 tributaries would be places for potential habitat
6 for fish in the Cal-Sag --

7 MR. HUFF: Things like juvenile and
8 spawning periods --

9 MR. ETTINGER: Right.

10 MR. HUFF: And then remember that on
11 the Cal-Sag, you don't have quite the vertical
12 sides. You've got some gravel -- that are also
13 submerged as part of the construction. So you
14 have better habitat for those same activities on
15 the Cal-Sag.

16 MR. ETTINGER: Have you heard of the
17 term cold shock?

18 MR. HUFF: Cold shock, I presume if
19 you're referring to if a treatment plant were to
20 suddenly stop discharging so that the temperature
21 would drop very rapidly in the receiving stream?

22 MR. ETTINGER: So you have heard of
23 the term.

24 MR. HUFF: Yes.

1 MR. ETTINGER: Is it your
2 understanding that cold shock figures in the
3 Agency's proposal relating to non-summer
4 temperatures?

5 MR. HUFF: I missed that. If that's
6 indeed the case, I wasn't aware of that.

7 MR. ETTINGER: Let's look at
8 attachment six and this will present all sorts of
9 challenges in terms of getting --

10 MR. ANDES: Can I follow up while
11 you're looking for that?

12 MR. ETTINGER: That would be a great
13 idea.

14 MR. ANDES: As to the Cal-Sag
15 Channel, Mr. Huff -- first of all, let me ask you.
16 Have you reviewed the forwarded testimony by John
17 Mackey cornering habitat restrictions on the CAWS?

18 MR. HUFF: I have not.

19 MR. ANDES: Including his
20 conclusions as to habitat restrictions in the
21 Cal-Sag?

22 MR. HUFF: I have not.

23 MR. ANDES: Okay. And is it your
24 impression that if DO were improved on the

1 Cal-Sag, it would make any significant difference
2 in the biological community?

3 MR. HUFF: I don't have an opinion
4 on that. The question in my mind is what is
5 limiting the habitat quality on the Cal-Sag, the
6 same on the Ship Canal? Is it habitat? Is it
7 dissolved oxygen? So I cannot answer. I have not
8 looked at that. I mean it could be habitat. I
9 have not looked at that.

10 MR. ANDES: So you don't have any
11 reason to disagree with Dr. Mackey's conclusion
12 that the two were comparable in terms of habitat?

13 MR. HUFF: No.

14 MR. ANDES: Thank you.

15 MS. WILLIAMS: I don't understand.
16 I though you just said the Cal-Sag had better
17 habitat than the Sanitary and Ship Canal?

18 MR. HUFF: In general, it does. It
19 has slightly higher QHEI scores and it's got the
20 tributaries coming in and it's got the softer
21 shallow areas. Those are three differences.

22 MR. ANDES: You're aware that all of
23 those issues were discussed in Dr. Mackey's
24 testimony?

1 MR. HUFF: No, I have not read his
2 testimony. I'm sorry.

3 MR. ANDES: Thank you.

4 MR. ETTINGER: I left your testimony
5 too soon. On the Emerald Shiner, on page 17, you
6 discuss Emerald Shiners also reported to be
7 thermally sensitive. Do I understand you to be
8 saying that the Emerald Shiner fills a similar
9 ecological niche as the blunt edge minnow?

10 MS. FRANZETTI: I'm just objecting.
11 I think that's vague. I'm not sure what you mean
12 by ecological niche.

13 MR. ETTINGER: She's objected. If
14 you understand the question, please answer it.

15 MR. HUFF: My understanding is they
16 do -- they're similar. In essence, they can
17 compete for space in the stream.

18 MR. ETTINGER: So in a sense, you
19 could add the populations of blunt nose minnows
20 and Emerald Shiners and you'd get an idea as to
21 the sensitivity or rather the total number of heat
22 sensitive fish in an area?

23 MR. HUFF: I guess the question is
24 why would you stop with just those two? Do you

1 add up all the other eight RAS species? But you
2 could do that, I suppose.

3 MR. ETTINGER: But all things
4 created -- being equal, you wouldn't expect to
5 find a whole lot of blunt nose and a whole lot of
6 Emerald Shiners in the same place?

7 MR. HUFF: Yes, and I think that's
8 true in some situations.

9 MR. ETTINGER: Okay. I want to go
10 through what has been marked as attachment six and
11 look now at figure 3-1, which is your map.
12 Ms. Diers has gone through some of this. I just
13 want to make sure the sites where they are
14 relative to various important things on the
15 Sanitary and Ship Canal -- on figure 3-1, which I
16 think is page 71 of the whole exhibit, and I don't
17 know what it is in your attachment.

18 MR. HUFF: Page five.

19 MR. ETTINGER: What?

20 MR. HUFF: Page five.

21 MS. DIERS: Cicero Avenue, that's
22 the hottest part in the Sanitary and Ship Canal
23 because it's right below Fisk and Crawford, right?

24 MR. HUFF: Yes.

1 MR. ETTINGER: Does B & O
2 Railroad -- that's below Stickney?

3 MR. HUFF: I think so.

4 MR. ETTINGER: Okay. And then does
5 anything important thermally happen between the
6 B & O Railroad and the Illinois Route 83, to your
7 knowledge?

8 MR. HUFF: Not that I have
9 knowledge.

10 MR. ETTINGER: So there's no
11 discharge of either cooler or warmer water between
12 those two spots? So we have cites here for Romeo
13 Road and RM6. Was the data collected at RM6 or at
14 Romeo Road?

15 MR. HUFF: I think they switched
16 sampling locations and that's why you have two
17 different data sets for those two years.

18 MR. ETTINGER: Where does the
19 Cal-Sag Channel come in here?

20 MR. HUFF: Upstream of that
21 location.

22 MR. ETTINGER: Does it come in
23 between Illinois Route 83 and this Romeo Road?

24 MR. HUFF: Yes.

1 MR. ETTINGER: Okay. Then looking
2 at this Lockport Lock and Dam site, is that below
3 the Will County Power Plant?

4 MR. HUFF: Yes.

5 MR. ETTINGER: Now, the average
6 temperature at Cicero -- I read that as 86
7 degrees, is that correct?

8 MR. HUFF: Yes.

9 MR. ETTINGER: During the summer, is
10 that below the -- what did we call it, the upper
11 incipient lethal temperature for both the blunt
12 nose minnow and the Emerald Shiner?

13 MR. HUFF: I believe it is, yes.

14 MR. ETTINGER: Looking now at table
15 3.2, which has -- it's entitled MWRDGC ambient
16 water quality monitoring program/fish collections.
17 I believe Ms. Diers asked you questions -- or
18 Ms. Diers or Ms. Williams asked you questions
19 about when those fish collections were made and, I
20 believe, you said generally in the summer, is that
21 correct?

22 MR. HUFF: Yes.

23 MR. ETTINGER: Do you know whether
24 there is any specific data as to the temperatures

1 at which those fish were collected?

2 MR. HUFF: I'd have to go back and
3 look at the raw data and see, but it's possible
4 and likely that there is temperature data.

5 MR. ETTINGER: Is there any reason
6 to believe that it differs significantly from the
7 average temperatures that you recorded here?

8 MR. HUFF: Well, sure. If the
9 samples were collected during a prolonged heat
10 spell, then you would have higher temperatures in
11 those waterways.

12 MR. ETTINGER: But we don't know
13 whether they were collected in May during a cooler
14 than average temperature or during a prolonged
15 heat spell?

16 MR. HUFF: Right. We didn't look at
17 that.

18 MR. ETTINGER: Okay. In looking at
19 the Chicago Sanitary and Ship Canal, we see data
20 collected on fish and we have miles at the top
21 here. 27.3 -- going from the right, 27.3. That's
22 Cicero Avenue, right?

23 MR. FORT: Which table are you
24 looking at?

1 MR. ETTINGER: I'm looking at table
2 3.2. And that's at Cicero, right?

3 MR. HUFF: Yes.

4 MR. ETTINGER: And 24 river miles,
5 that is -- that's closer to B & O, isn't it?

6 MR. HUFF: Yes.

7 MR. ETTINGER: Okay. When we look
8 at the relative abundance of fish at the -- no.
9 Let's forget about relative abundance at the time.
10 If we just look at the 2005 number for gizzard
11 shad, comparing B & O, the cool spot, versus
12 Cicero Road, we see a significant difference?

13 MR. HUFF: In absolute number of
14 fish.

15 MR. ETTINGER: And if we look at
16 relative abundance of the Sanitary and Ship Canal
17 for Emerald Shiner and blunt nose minnow, what
18 percentage do we get?

19 MR. HUFF: The percentage Emerald
20 Shiner plus blunt nose minnow?

21 MR. ETTINGER: Right.

22 MR. HUFF: At those stations?

23 MR. ETTINGER: Right.

24 MR. HUFF: For 2005?

1 MR. ETTINGER: Well, no. You give a
2 relative abundance here. I think it collects them
3 for the whole period in your table where it says
4 relative abundance.

5 MR. HUFF: Okay. That's in the
6 entire Ship Canal.

7 MR. ETTINGER: That's in the entire
8 Ship Canal relative. Relative abundance of these
9 two cooler more heat sensitive species?

10 MR. HUFF: Yes.

11 MR. ETTINGER: The relative
12 abundance is -- math wasn't my best subject, but I
13 think 5.6.7.9 is 13.5?

14 MR. HUFF: So you're adding which
15 ones up?

16 MR. ETTINGER: I'm adding Emerald
17 Shiner and blunt nose minnow.

18 MR. HUFF: Yes.

19 MR. ETTINGER: And the relative
20 abundance of carp in the Sanitary and Ship Canal
21 is 17.4 percent, that's your understanding of what
22 the Water Reclamation District found?

23 MR. HUFF: Yes.

24 MR. ETTINGER: And then we can

1 compare those numbers with the relative abundance
2 in the Cal-Sag Canal of the more heat sensitive
3 and the less heat sensitive species, couldn't we?
4 You have to make a verbal answer.

5 MR. HUFF: Okay. Sure, you can do
6 that.

7 MR. ETTINGER: Okay. We won't
8 bother with that now. Looking at table 3.4, we
9 have relative abundance at river mile 27.3 and 24,
10 am I correct in reading that -- and this is on the
11 Sanitary and Ship Canal, that carp are almost 35
12 percent at Cicero Road, but are 9 percent at
13 B & O?

14 MR. HUFF: Yes.

15 MS. WILLIAMS: Just give me a
16 second. I think I'm done. Can we take, like, a
17 five or ten minute break here?

18 MS. TIPSORD: Okay. Let's take five
19 minutes.

20 MR. ETTINGER: Just a few minutes to
21 go over my questions.

22 MS. WILLIAMS: Is Mr. Andes going to
23 ask any questions?

24 MS. TIPSORD: He has pre-filed

1 questions. Let's take ten minutes.

2 (Whereupon, a break was taken
3 after which the following
4 proceedings were had.)

5 MS. TIPSORD: Are we ready to go
6 back on the record?

7 MR. ETTINGER: Just two things. One
8 that was earlier pre-filed before we re-changed it
9 and I just want to pursue a little light of our
10 mixing zone testimony and then something else that
11 was helpfully pointed out to me that was a mess.
12 So it's your understanding now that the Sanitary
13 and Ship Canal -- I'm sorry. Is it your
14 understanding that the Sanitary and Ship Canal
15 from time to time violates the dissolved oxygen
16 standards that are currently applicable to it?

17 MR. HUFF: Yes.

18 MR. ETTINGER: Has that affected the
19 ability of Citgo or other dischargers, to your
20 knowledge, to obtain permission to discharge
21 BOD into the Sanitary and Ship Canal?

22 MR. HUFF: In the case of Citgo,
23 they have not asked for any increase. So it has
24 not affected it.

1 MR. ETTINGER: An increase.

2 MR. HUFF: In loadings.

3 MR. ETTINGER: Well, does it affect
4 their ability to keep their current loading of
5 BOD?

6 MR. HUFF: Until such time as a TMDL
7 study is done, it is my understanding that number
8 will remain as is.

9 MR. ETTINGER: Okay. Let's say, for
10 example, then that worse case scenario from your
11 point of view that we adopted the chloride
12 standard that the Agency is proposing to make
13 applicable to the Sanitary and Ship Canal, would
14 that affect Citgo's ability to discharge chloride
15 before a TMDL study was done?

16 MR. HUFF: Yes.

17 MR. ETTINGER: And why is that?

18 MR. HUFF: Because in an ex-permit
19 cycle, they would determine that you have a
20 waterway that exceeds the water quality standard
21 for chlorides and, therefore, they would set an
22 effluent limit at the water quality standard.

23 MR. FORT: I think as a
24 clarification here --

1 MR. ETTINGER: That's fine. Let's
2 have some clarification here. You understand
3 where I'm coming from. It seems like DO is being
4 treated differently from chloride.

5 MR. FORT: Mr. Huff, when you
6 answered Mr. Ettinger's question about are there
7 dissolved oxygen violations -- I think that was
8 his question -- in the Ship Canal, are you aware
9 of whether or not those are in the vicinity of the
10 Citgo outfall?

11 MR. HUFF: I have no specific
12 knowledge of that. Maybe if I could expand, too.
13 DO and BOD is treated differently because you
14 can't say that if there are DO violations it's due
15 to the discharge of BOD. There's a lot of factors
16 that go into determining what is causing DO
17 violations as opposed to if you have a chloride
18 violation, it's because there's more chloride in
19 the stimulative capacity. So it is different.

20 MR. ETTINGER: Getting back to this
21 other issue about standards_of standard applicable
22 to the I-55 bridge, I think we agreed that there
23 are chloride violations below the I-55 bridge now,
24 is that correct?

1 MR. FORT: Can we clarify those
2 violations of the current standards or violations
3 historically?

4 MR. ETTINGER: Well --

5 MR. HUFF: I believe the question is
6 of the general use chloride standard?

7 MR. ETTINGER: Yes.

8 MR. HUFF: The 500 milligram per
9 liter, that's correct.

10 MR. ETTINGER: And that's applicable
11 at the I-55 bridge and it has been and it hasn't
12 been changed, is that correct?

13 MR. HUFF: Yes, sir.

14 MR. ETTINGER: Okay. And there have
15 been violations of the chloride standard below the
16 I-55 bridge?

17 MR. HUFF: Yes.

18 MR. ETTINGER: Has that affected
19 your permits -- I'm sorry. You don't have
20 permits. Has that affected Citgo's permits?

21 MR. HUFF: No.

22 MR. ETTINGER: And why is that?

23 MR. HUFF: Well, because they have
24 not asked for an increase in chloride being

1 discharged.

2 MR. ETTINGER: If they were to ask
3 for an increase in chloride, that would preclude
4 them from getting an increase to your
5 understanding?

6 MR. HUFF: Potentially, yes.

7 MR. ETTINGER: And if a TMDL were
8 done for chloride, would that potentially affect
9 your discharge?

10 MR. HUFF: If the TMDL determined
11 that they were -- they should have a reduction in
12 chloride in order to meet the overall water
13 quality on the stream, yes.

14 MR. ETTINGER: Thank you. That's
15 all?

16 MS. TIPSORD: Mr. Andes, did the
17 District have any additional questions?

18 MR. ANDES: No.

19 MS. TIPSORD: Anyone else have any
20 questions for Mr. Huff?

21 MS. WILLIAMS: I just have a couple.

22 MS. TIPSORD: Go ahead, Dr. Lin.

23 MR. LIN: LPC has some questions
24 about the electrical barrier. I have some

1 questions too. Has this been effective to control
2 who designed it and how long ago?

3 MR. HUFF: From what I read, this
4 has been undertaken by the Army Corp. of
5 Engineers. They've had the first barrier up for
6 several years and they just started up a second
7 barrier last month.

8 MR. LIN: Do you have any idea about
9 the barrier and the fish species?

10 MR. HUFF: The barrier is intended
11 to stop the transmission of invasive species
12 basically both ways. The one that gets the most
13 publicity is the Asian or big head tarp that is
14 migrating down the Illinois River into the
15 Des Plaines River and the fear of that getting
16 into the Great Lakes.

17 MR. LIN: My question is do you have
18 any idea if those are undesirable --

19 MS. TIPSORD: Go ahead.

20 MR. HUFF: They got the electrical
21 barrier up before the Asian tarp reached that
22 location so to my knowledge they have not found
23 any of the Asian tarp in the Chicagoland waterway
24 yet. So what they have been monitoring is the

1 migration of this invasive species and it
2 continues to migrate closer and closer to that
3 electric barrier.

4 MR. LIN: Okay.

5 MS. TIPSORD: Any other questions?

6 MS. WILLIAMS: I just had a
7 housekeeping, sort of a housekeeping -- I made
8 some notes on items that Citgo was going to
9 provide and I just wanted to be sure I'm clear.
10 One of the items had to do with temperature data
11 and is it correct that you're going to provide
12 data from the edge of the mixing zones and from
13 the effluents?

14 MR. FORT: Yes.

15 MR. HUFF: We can calculate at the
16 edge of the mixing zone what the temperature would
17 be. We have effluent temperatures and we have the
18 mixing zone studies that's been completed. So if
19 you know the upstream temperature at the time
20 you're discharging, you can calculate what the
21 temperature is at the edge of the mixing zone.

22 MS. WILLIAMS: So when we asked you
23 about whether data had been collected at the edge
24 of the mixing zone, would you like to change that

1 answer?

2 MR. HUFF: Well, I'll answer it
3 again. I think that the question was, do we know
4 what the temperature is at the edge of the mixing
5 zone and the answer to that was yes.

6 MS. WILLIAMS: And we know because
7 it's been modeled?

8 MR. HUFF: Calculated. Modeled.

9 MS. WILLIAMS: And where is the
10 effluent when you provide that? Because I just
11 want to be clear because we probably won't be able
12 to cross examine you again on Citgo's responses.
13 When you submit this temperature effluent data,
14 where are those samples going to be coming from?

15 MR. HUFF: They will be the
16 discharge samples and I believe we have influent
17 temperature data that I will use from the
18 upstream. From those two, I can calculate what
19 will be at the edge of the mixing zone.

20 MS. WILLIAMS: Is it at the point of
21 discharge or is it an internal outfall where --

22 MR. HUFF: It's the point of the
23 discharge.

24 MS. WILLIAMS: Okay. Thank you.

1 That's all I have.

2 MS. TIPSORD: Anything further?

3 MR. FORT: I have one question that
4 I would like to put to the witness that was not
5 asked by the question although it's in the
6 pre-filed questions and this goes to the
7 differences amongst the Ship Canal and other
8 waterbodies in that large area that is so easy to
9 say as being the CAWS as if it were a small group.
10 Mr. Huff, you were asked earlier about the
11 differences amongst those waterbodies in the CAWS
12 and with respect to barges. Have you looked into
13 any differences amongst the Chicago Sanitary and
14 Ship Canal, the Cal-Sag Channel and any other
15 bodies in terms of the frequency of barges?

16 MR. HUFF: Yes, I have.

17 MR. FORT: And what are those
18 relative values?

19 MR. HUFF: At the Lockport Lock and
20 Dam, they have between 9,000 and 12,000 barges
21 annually. The O'Brien Lock and Dam on the Cal Sag
22 is between 4,000 and 8,000 and then at the Chicago
23 Lock into Lake Michigan, there are about one
24 hundred barges per year. So the ship canal

1 handles approximately double the number of barges
2 that are on the Cal Sag.

3 MR. FORT: And in your testimony,
4 you were asked some questions about the uniqueness
5 of the Ship Canal as opposed to other bodies of
6 water. And I think your testimony has, like, 19
7 different factors. Then you go to the thermal
8 loading issue from the power plants and the
9 chloride runoff. So is your testimony on the
10 uniqueness of the Ship Canal all in totality
11 there?

12 MR. HUFF: It is indeed.

13 MR. FORT: Thank you.

14 MR. ETTINGER: Just to follow up one
15 question. Do you know what percentage of the
16 barge traffic in the Sanitary and Ship Canal is
17 moving coal to the Midwest power plants?

18 MR. HUFF: I do not.

19 MR. ETTINGER: Thank you.

20 MS. TIPSORD: Anything else for
21 Mr. Huff? Okay. Thank you, everyone. I will see
22 you all May 20th. We're adjourned.

23

24

1 STATE OF ILLINOIS.)
2) SS.
3 COUNTY OF COOK)
4
5

6 I, Steven Brickey, Certified Shorthand
7 Reporter, do hereby certify that I reported in
8 shorthand the proceedings had at the trial
9 aforesaid, and that the foregoing is a true,
10 complete and correct transcript of the proceedings
11 of said trial as appears from my stenographic
12 notes so taken and transcribed under my personal
13 direction.

14 Witness my official signature in and for
15 Cook County, Illinois, on this 18th day of
16 May, A.D., 2009.
17

18
19
20 Steven Brickey
21 STEVEN BRICKEY, CSR
22 29 South LaSalle Street
23 Suite 850
24 Chicago, Illinois 60603
Phone: (312) 419-9292
CSR No. 084-004675